

A tale of two populations: Rotating Radio Transients and X-ray Dim Isolated Neutron Stars

S.B. Popov¹, R. Turolla² and A. Possenti³ *

¹ *Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Universitetski pr. 13, Moscow 119992, Russia*

² *Department of Physics, University of Padova, via Marzolo 8, I-35131, Padova, Italy*

³ *Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, Osservatorio Astronomico di Cagliari, loc. Poggio dei Pini, Strada 54, I-09012 Capoterra, Italy*

Accepted Received; in original form

ABSTRACT

We highlight similarities between recently discovered Rotating Radio Transients and X-ray Dim Isolated Neutron Stars. In particular, it is shown that X-ray Dim Isolated Neutron Stars have a birthrate comparable to that of Rotating Radio Transients. On the contrary, magnetars have too low a formation rate to account for the bulk of the radio transient population. The consequences of the recent detection of a thermal X-ray source associated with one of the Rotating Radio Transients on the proposed scenarios for these sources are also discussed.

Key words: stars: neutron — stars: evolution — pulsars: general

1 INTRODUCTION

The remarkable discovery of a new population of transient radio-sources in the Parkes Multibeam Survey (dubbed Rotating Radio Transients, RRATs) has been announced very recently (McLaughlin et al. 2006). A total of 11 sources have been detected so far and they are characterized by the emission of bursts with duration ~ 2 –30 ms. The maximum burst flux density is ~ 0.1 –4 Jy and the mean interval between bursts is ~ 4 min–3 hrs. Although no periodicities were found using standard FFT searches, time difference analysis revealed periods in the range 0.4–7 s in 10 out of 11 sources with no signature for binarity. In three cases the period derivative \dot{P} was measured: the inferred magnetic fields and ages are in the 10^{12} – 10^{14} G and 0.1–3 Myrs range, respectively. The sources appear concentrated towards the Galactic plane and the inner Galaxy, like the normal young pulsar population. Although their nature is still mysterious, a natural inference is that we are observing a subset of the population of the Galactic isolated neutron stars, with radio properties much at variance with those of ordinary radio-pulsars (PSRs).

In principle, RRATs might be a completely new, separate class of isolated neutron stars, or they may be linked to an already classified population. In fact, on the basis of the similarities in the measured periods and period derivatives, McLaughlin et al. (2006) pointed out a possible association between RRATs and the anomalous X-ray pulsars/soft γ -repeaters (AXPs/SGRs, the so called magnetar candi-

dates; e.g. Woods & Thompson 2004), or between RRATs and the X-ray dim isolated neutron stars (XDINSs). The latter are a small group of seven radio-quiet, close-by X-ray pulsars, characterized by a thermal spectrum with typical temperatures $kT \lesssim 100$ eV and periods P in the range ~ 3 –11 s (e.g. Haberl 2004). The recent measure of the spin period derivative \dot{P} in two sources gives magnetic fields of $\sim (2 - 3) \times 10^{13}$ G and ages $\sim 1 - 2$ Myr (Kaplan & van Kerkwijk 2005a, Kaplan & van Kerkwijk 2005b).

The possibility that RRATs are far away XDINSs appears worth of further consideration in view of the many, striking similarities between the two populations: values of P and \dot{P} , estimated ages, spatial distribution, lack of pulsed radio emission. The very recent discovery of a faint X-ray source (CXOU J181934.1–145804) with soft thermal spectrum ($kT \sim 120$ eV; Reynolds et al. 2005) in positional coincidence with the RRAT J1819-1458 lends further support to this option.

XDINSs have been searched for pulsed radio emission with negative results (Brazier & Johnston 1999; Johnston 2003), but only in one case (RX J0720.4–3125; Bradley 2006) the signal analysis was sensitive to radio bursting activity. Malofeev et al. (2005) reported the detection of pulsed (probably not bursting) radio emission at very low frequency from RX J1308.6+2127. If confirmed, the detection may be related to the fact that this source is probably the only orthogonal rotator among XDINSs. This geometry is inferred from the double-peaked lightcurve in the X-ray band, and, interestingly enough, similar properties are shared by the AXP 1E 2259+586, also possibly seen at very low radio frequency (Malofeev et al. 2005). A systematic multi-frequency search for radio bursts from XDINSs is

* E-mail: polar@sai.msu.ru (SBP); turolla@pd.infn.it (RT); possenti@ca.astro.it (AP)

presently under way (Possenti et al. in preparation) and, if positive, it would strongly suggest an association between the two types of NSs.

In this Letter we first explore (§ 2) the possible links between RRATs and SGRs/AXPs or XDINSs by a comparative study of the properties of the three classes of isolated neutron stars, focusing in particular on their birthrates. Consequences of the discovery of an X-ray counterpart to RRAT J1819-1458 (Reynolds et al. 2005) on the nature of RRATs are discussed in § 3.

2 BIRTHRATES OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF NEUTRON STARS

2.1 PSRs and RRATs birthrate

McLaughlin et al. (2006) estimated the number of RRATs to be $N_{\text{RRAT}} \sim 4 \times 10^5$ while current estimates place the number of Galactic PSRs in the range $7 \times 10^4 \lesssim N_{\text{PSR}} \lesssim 1.2 \times 10^5$ (Vranesevic et al. 2004). Although the determination of N_{RRAT} is still preliminary, this would imply that RRATs outnumber active radio-pulsars by a factor $\sim 3 - 5$. However, drawing the conclusion that being a RRAT is a more common nature for a neutron stars than being a PSR would be incorrect. The duration of the two phenomena, in fact, may be substantially different and a better measure of the relative abundance of RRATs and PSRs is obtained comparing the birthrates of the two classes of neutron stars.

Recent investigations give for the PSR birthrate a value $\beta_{\text{PSR}} \sim 0.01-0.02 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Vranesevic et al. 2004; see also Lorimer 2005 and Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi 2006). Likewise N_{PSR} , the PSR birthrate depends on the adopted models for pulsar beaming and Galactic electron distribution and the assumed estimates of both quantities tend to produce lower limits on the true population (see again Vranesevic et al. 2004). The average active pulsar lifetime (i.e. the characteristic time required to reach the death line) may be estimated as $\tau_{\text{PSR}} \approx N_{\text{PSR}}/\beta_{\text{PSR}} \sim 5 \times 10^6 \text{ yr}$. Despite the uncertainties, τ_{PSR} turns out to be close to the typical values one obtains from the spin-down formula, $\dot{P} \propto P^{2-n}$. This holds in the case of death line for curvature radiation (star-centred dipolar field) for both vacuum gap and space-charge-limited flow (Zhang et al. 2000), and adopting a braking index close to those observed in young PSRs ($1.4 \lesssim n_{\text{PSR}} \lesssim 2.9$; Livingstone, Kaspi & Gotthelf 2006).

Due to the very limited amount of information presently available, an estimate of RRATs characteristic lifetime τ_{RRAT} is somehow haphazard. The physical mechanism responsible for the emission of radio bursts is still unclear and, so far, there is no proof that it is similar to that of canonical pulsar radio emission (see Zhang et al. 2006 for a first discussion on the RRAT nature). As a consequence, the region in the $P-\dot{P}$ diagram in which the conditions for burst emission are fulfilled may not coincide with that in which pulsed emission is allowed, so the death lines for the two types of radio activity may well be different. Moreover, the physical mechanism responsible for the bursts may also influence the braking index n_{RRAT} of RRATs. If n_{RRAT} differs substantially from that of the bulk of the PSR population, the spin-down history of RRATs may bear little resemblance to that of ordinary radio-pulsars.

This stipulated, it is interesting to note that the three RRATs for which both P and \dot{P} have been measured lie above (or close to) the radio-pulsar death line. Although the scanty statistics may play a role here, this may suggest that minimal conditions for triggering radio burst are shared with those for normal radio-pulsar behaviour. But, again, none can ensure that the typical time for reaching the death line is the same for PSRs and RRATs. However, if RRATs are rotating neutron stars endowed with pulsar-like magnetic fields, their magneto-dipolar spin-down rate is expected to be similar to that of PSRs. Unless RRAT and PSR distributions of initial periods and magnetic fields are drastically different, one can then tentatively conclude that the lifetime of the two populations is not much diverse. Even a different braking index, e.g. produced by the bursting activity, should not alter the main conclusion, since for $1 \lesssim n \lesssim 4$ the typical time required to reach the death line is within a factor of a few. Given that $N_{\text{RRAT}} = \gamma N_{\text{PSR}}$, our estimate $\tau_{\text{RRAT}} \approx \tau_{\text{PSR}}$ implies that $\beta_{\text{RRAT}} = \gamma \beta_{\text{PSR}}$ with $\gamma > 3$.

2.2 Magnetars birthrate

Kouveliotou et al. (1998) estimated that magnetars are born with a rate $\beta_{\text{SGR}} \sim 0.001 \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This follows from the estimated age of the soft repeater SGR 1806-20 ($\sim 8000-10000 \text{ yr}$) and from the upper limit on the number of SGRs in the Galaxy ($N_{\text{SGR}} \lesssim 7$; see again Kouveliotou et al. 1998). AXPs are suspected to host a magnetar too, and are about twice as numerous as SGRs. However, since they are believed to be typically one order of magnitude older than SGRs (see e.g. Woods & Thompson 2004), the inferred birthrate for the two types of sources is of the same order, $\beta_{\text{SGR}} \sim \beta_{\text{AXP}}$.

Taken face value, β_{SGR} is about a few per cent of the birthrate of radio-pulsars and obviously too low to be comparable with the RRAT birthrate as given in § 2.1. We note that the estimate by Kouveliotou et al. (1998) strongly depends on the efficiency of SGR detection (Woods & Thompson 2004). However, in order to obtain a SGR birthrate equal to the rate of radio-pulsar formation, one should assume a detection efficiency as low as 10%, at variance with the fact that each of the four confirmed SGRs produced a strong flare during the period of active monitoring of these sources¹. We also note that the stringent upper limits on the detection of giant flares from extragalactic SGRs in the BATSE archive (Lazzati et al. 2005; Popov & Stern 2006) provides further evidence in favour of a small number of magnetars in the Galaxy.

Even regarding $\beta_{\text{SGR}} \sim 0.001 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ as an absolute lower limit (not all magnetars in their youth may exhibit a SGR-like activity), the birthrate of RRATs can hardly be reconciled with that of magnetars. We conclude that some small fraction of RRATs can perhaps be associated with magnetars, but not the bulk of the population.

¹ Three of these bursts are *bona fide* giant flares. The fourth, that from SGR 1627-41 observed on 1998 June 18, was also very energetic, but did not show evidence for a pulsating tail. Still, some authors classify this event as a giant flare (Mazets et al. 2004).

2.3 XDINS birthrate

The population of XDINSs is small (up to now $N_{\text{XDINS}} = 7$, whence the name *Magnificent Seven*), and comprises only relatively young and close-by objects. This is mainly a consequence of an observational bias, since intermediate-age, radio-silent cooling neutron stars are very elusive sources.

Although it is difficult to get an exact estimate of β_{XDINS} , its order of magnitude can be easily derived. Only the distance of the brightest source, RX J1856.5-3754 ($\sim 120 - 170$ pc, Walter & Lattimer 2002; D. Kaplan, unpublished) is well determined. However, we can conservatively adopt a distance of $D_{\text{XDINS}} \lesssim 400$ pc on the basis of the X-ray determination of the column densities and on the upper limit for the size of the Gould Belt (see below). Ages also are not well constrained, but, basing on the surface temperatures, XDINSs cannot be older than $\tau_{\text{XDINS}} \approx 1$ Myr, if they are standard cooling isolated neutron star (see e.g. Yakovlev et al. 1999 and Page et al. 2004). This is supported by the recent measure of \dot{P} in two sources, implying an age of $\sim 1 - 2$ Myr (Kaplan & van Kerkwijk 2005a; Kaplan & van Kerkwijk 2005b). A first estimate of the XDINS birthrate in the proximity of the Sun is then of order ten per Myr, well consistent with the inferred supernova rate in the Gould Belt, $\sim 20 - 30 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$ (Grenier 2000).

In fact, as discussed by Popov et al. (2003), the local density of XDINSs is expected to be higher with respect to the Galactic average since the solar neighborhood is part of the Gould Belt, an association of young stellar systems. Because of the comparatively large fraction of massive stars in the Belt, the local neutron star formation rate is higher. The origin of XDINSs in young nearby stellar systems is also supported by dynamical considerations based on their proper motions (e.g. Kaplan et al. 2002). In summary, the Gould Belt accounts for about 2/3 of the observed XDINSs, so that the average Galactic birthrate β_{XDINS} should be $\lambda_1 \sim 3$ times lower than the local one. On the other hand, neutron star cooling curves are mass-dependent (more massive stars cool faster, see again Yakovlev et al. 1999; Page et al. 2004) and close-by NSs which are slightly more massive than the observed seven may be too dim by now to be detectable in X-rays. In particular, we can expect up to a dozen additional, dimmer isolated neutron stars of the same age and within the same distance of the *Magnificent Seven* (see, for example, Popov 2004; Popov et al. 2005). These sources may be among the unidentified ROSAT objects (Schwope et al. 1999; Rutledge et al. 2003), and we can expect them to be identified by on-going searches (see, for example, Chierigato et al. 2005; Agueros et al. 2006 for recent reports on the search for new XDINS candidates). Hence the total number of XDINSs in the solar neighborhood should be $\lambda_2 N_{\text{XDINS}}$, where $\lambda_2 \sim 2 - 3$.

Extending the previous argument to the whole Galaxy gives $\beta_{\text{XDINS}} = [(\lambda_2 N_{\text{XDINS}} / \lambda_1) \times (R_{\text{disc}} / D_{\text{XDINS}})^2] / \tau_{\text{XDINS}} \approx 0.01 \text{ yr}^{-1}$. In deriving the previous expression we used the fact that XDINSs are a disc population and took $R_{\text{disc}} = 15 \text{ kpc}$, $\lambda_2 / \lambda_1 \sim 1$. It should also be mentioned that the local density of neutron stars (disregarding the enhancement produced by the Belt) is lower than the Galactic average, because of the exponential density decay (with typical length-scale ~ 3

kpc; e.g. Kent et al. 1991; Fux & Martinet 1994) in the Galactic disc. If this is taken into account, then β_{XDINS} may reach a few events per century and it may well be that cooling, radio-silent isolated neutron stars have a birthrate comparable to, or even higher than, that of radio-pulsars. This is indeed the case for the local population of XDINSs, as suspected already by Neuhäuser & Trümper (1999) and confirmed by Popov et al. (2000) and by Popov et al. (2003). As these investigations have shown, the average density of radio-pulsars is not high enough to explain the number of observed XDINSs.

2.4 Total birthrate of isolated neutron stars

As a consistency check for our birthrate estimates of §2.1, §2.2, and §2.3, we can compare the total birthrate β_{INS} of isolated neutron stars (INSs) in the Galaxy with the observed rate of supernovae. In fact, $\beta_{\text{INS}} \geq \beta_{\text{PSR}} + \beta_{\text{XDINS}} + \beta_{\text{SGR}}$. The formation rate of the magnetars can be safely neglected in this calculation, since it is about a factor ten lower than both β_{PSR} and β_{XDINS} . Hence, conservatively assuming $\beta_{\text{PSR}} \sim \beta_{\text{XDINS}}$, and accounting for the stated uncertainties, it turns out $\beta_{\text{INS}} \gtrsim 0.02 - 0.04$ events per yr. This figure is in comfortable correspondence with both the recent determination of the rate of core collapse supernovae in the Galaxy (1.9 ± 1.1 events per century: Diehl et al. 2006) and with the estimate of the rate of supernovae which can produce neutron stars ($\lesssim 3$ events per century: Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi 2006).

3 DISCUSSION

In the previous section we have pointed out that among the known Galactic INS populations, and with the possible exception of PSRs (see below), XDINSs alone have a formation rate of the right order to account for the large inferred number of RRATs. Although the idea that RRATs are far away XDINSs appear promising in the light of presently available information, other interpretations may be conceivable.

The Galaxy is the graveyard of as many as 10^8 INSs which are too old to be active as radio-pulsars. Despite old NSs may be rejuvenated by the accretion of interstellar material (e.g. Treves et al. 2000 and references therein), such a population has never been detected, most probably because accretion is impeded by the star magnetic field, rotation and large spatial velocity. As discussed by Popov et al. (2000a), a fraction of $\sim 0.1 - 0.2\%$ of all INSs is expected to be presently in the so-called supersonic propeller stage. This amounts to $\approx 10^5$ objects, a number consistent with the estimate for RRATs. A supersonic propeller might match the values of P (Beskin & Eliseeva 2005a,b) and \dot{P} (Alpar 2001 and references therein) observed in RRATs. The emission of radio-bursts may be produced by particles accelerated by magnetic reconnection in the elongated magnetotail (e.g. Toropina et al. 2001), although no detailed model has been worked out yet. Alternatively, RRATs may be just ordinary radio-pulsars, with a particular viewing geometry, or pulsars from the “death valley”, as suggested by Zhang et al. (2006).

The discovery of the X-ray counterpart for the RRAT J1819-1458 in *Chandra* archive data (Reynolds et al. 2005)

poses rather stringent constraints on the aforementioned interpretations for RRATs. In fact, the observed spectrum, although the counting statistics is quite low, appears consistent with a blackbody at $kT \sim 120 \pm 40$ eV. Thermal, soft radiation is not expected from a propeller, in which non-thermal magnetospheric emission should dominate. PSRs do indeed show a thermal component in their spectra (e.g. Pavlov & Zavlin 2003) at comparable temperature. However, this is the case for quite young objects (age $\approx 10^4$ – 10^5 yr). If RRATs are associated with pulsars from the death valley, they should be at least one order of magnitude older and hence much cooler.

Interestingly, the X-ray properties of RRAT J1819-1458 are not in contradiction with the XDINS picture. The observed temperature is, within the reported errors, similar to those found in the seven bona fide XDINSs ($40 \lesssim kT \lesssim 100$ eV, see e.g. Haberl 2004 and Zane et al. 2005). Would a value of 120 eV be confirmed, this may be quite naturally explained if this source is younger than the seven. Actually, the $P/2\dot{P}$ characteristic age of RRAT J1819-1458 is about 0.11 Myr and this makes it the youngest among the RRATs with a measured \dot{P} (for the other two objects ages are 1.8 and 3.3 Myr, see again McLaughlin et al. 2006). It should be mentioned in this respect that in the case of the XDINS RX J0720.4-3125 the characteristic age turns out to be larger than both the cooling and the kinematic age (Kaplan & van Kerkwijk 2005a). If this applies to RRAT J1819-1458, its age may well be below 10^5 yr.

Given the very limited sample of XDINSs, it is not surprising that no XDINSs² display a rotational period shorter than ~ 3 s: this can be ascribed to the poor probability of discovering rapidly rotating sources, which spin down very quickly. We note that the available sample of RRATs is comparable with that of XDINSs, but there are RRATs with spin period significantly shorter, down to ~ 0.4 s. If RRATs are far away XDINSs, this clearly indicates that the detectability of the radio bursting activity must be enhanced in faster spinning neutron stars. Additional support to this interpretation comes from the fact that two of the RRATs with a measured period derivative have slightly lower magnetic fields than the two XDINSs for which a similar measure is available. In fact, a RRAT with a lower magnetic field spins down more slowly and spends a longer time in the phase when the detection of radio bursts would be more probable.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The arguments of the previous sections show that, as far as the birthrate is concerned, XDINSs seem to be a subpopulation of Galactic neutron stars more abundant than that of PSRs and much larger than that of SGRs/AXPs. In particular, the birthrate of XDINSs is consistent with the available estimate made for the birthrate of RRATs.

Discovery of the radio transient activity from close-by neutron stars in a survey is a difficult task due to the expected small dispersion measure towards such objects, which

may lead to misinterpret RRAT candidates as radio interferences. On the other hand, most of the known RRATs are too far away for their thermal radiation to be detectable in the ROSAT All-sky survey above 0.01 cts s⁻¹. Radio observations of the known sample of XDINSs (with sensitivity to their putative bursting activity) are hence necessary to test the possible link between the two populations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Monica Colpi for discussions. The work of SBP was supported by RFBR grant 04-02-16720 and by the “Dynasty” Foundation (Russia). AP and RT acknowledge financial support from the Italian Ministry for Education, University and Research through grant PRIN 2004023189.

REFERENCES

- Agueros M., et al., 2006, AJ, in press [astro-ph/0511659]
 Alpar M.A., 2001, ApJ, 544, 1245
 Bradley, D., 2006, M.Sc., University of Manchester
 Beskin V.S., Eliseeva S.A., 2005, Astronomy Letters 31, 263
 Beskin V.S., Eliseeva S.A., 2005, Astronomy Letters 31, 579
 Blaschke D., Grigorian H., Voskresensky D., 2004, A&A, 424, 979
 Brazier K.T.S., Johnston S., 1999, MNRAS, 305, 671
 Chierigato M., Campana S., Treves A., Moretti A., Mignani R.P., Tagliaferri G., 2005, A&A, 444, 69
 Diehl R. et al., 2006, Nature, 439, 45
 Faucher-Giguère C-A., Kaspi V.M., 2006, ApJ, in press [astro-ph/0512585]
 Fux R., Martinet L., 1994, A&A, 287, L21
 Gotthelf E.V., Vasisht G., 2000, in Proc. IAU Coll. 177 “Pulsar astronomy - 2000 and beyond”, Eds. M. Kramer, N. Wex, & N. Wielebinski, ASP Conf. Series 202, 699
 Grenier I.A., 2000, A&A, 364, L93
 Haberl F., 2004, Adv. Space Res., 33, 638
 Johnston S., 2003, MNRAS, 340, L43
 Kaplan D., van Kerkwijk M.H., Anderson J., 2002, ApJ, 571, 447
 Kaplan D.L., van Kerkwijk M.H., 2005a, ApJ, 628, L45
 Kaplan D.L., van Kerkwijk M.H., 2005b, ApJ, 635, L65
 Kent S.M., Dame T.M., Fazio G., 1991, ApJ, 378, 131
 Kouveliotou C. et al., 1998, Nature, 393, 235
 Lazzati D., Ghirlanda G., Ghisellini G., 2005, MNRAS, 362, L8
 Livingstone M.A., Kaspi V.M., Gotthelf E.V., 2006, ApJ, submitted [astro-ph/0601530]
 Lorimer D., 2005, Living Rev. Relativity, 8, 7
 Malofeev V.M., Malov O.I., Teplykh D.A., Tyul’bashev S.A., Tyul’basheva G.E., 2005, Astronomy Reports, 49, 242
 Mazets E. et al., 2004, GCN, 2922
 McLaughlin M.A. et al., 2006, Nature, in press [astro-ph/0511587]
 Neuhäuser R., Trümper J., 1999, A&A, 343, 151
 Page D., Lattimer J.M., Prakash M., Steiner A.W., 2004, ApJS, 155, 623

² Note that the spin period for two of the known XDINSs is still undetermined.

- Pavlov G.G., Zavlin V.E., 2003, in “Texas in Tuscany. XXI Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics”, Eds. R. Bandiera, R. Maiolino, F. Mannucci. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing, p. 319
- Popov S.B., Colpi M., Treves A., Turolla R., Lipunov V.M., Prokhorov M.E., 2000a, *ApJ*, 530, 896
- Popov S.B., Colpi M., Prokhorov M.E., Treves A., Turolla R., 2000b, *ApJ*, 544, L53
- Popov S.B., Colpi M., Prokhorov M.E., Treves A., Turolla R., 2003, *A&A*, 406, 111
- Popov S.B., 2004, preprint [astro-ph/0407370]
- Popov S.B., Turolla R., Prokhorov M.E., Colpi M., Treves A., 2005, *Ap&SS*, 299, 117
- Popov S.B., Stern B.E., 2006, *MNRAS*, 365, 885
- Reynolds S.P. et al., 2005, *ApJ*, in press [astro-ph/0512379]
- Rutledge R.E., Fox D.W., Bogosavljevic M., Mahabal A., 2003, *ApJ*, 598, 458
- Schwope A.D., Hasinger G., Schwarz R., Haberl F., Schmidt M., 1999, *A&A*, 341, L51
- Toropina O.D., Romanova M.M., Toropin Yu.M., Lovelace R.V.E., 2001, *ApJ*, 561, 964
- Treves A., Turolla R., Zane S., Colpi M., 2000, *PASP*, 112, 297
- Vranesevic N. et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 617, L139
- Yakovlev D.G., Levenfish K.P., Shibanov Yu.A., 1999, *Phys. Uspekhi*, 42, 737
- Walter F.M., Lattimer J.M., 2002, *ApJ*, 576, L145
- Woods P.M., Thompson C., 2004, To appear in: Compact stellar X-ray sources, Eds. W.H.G. Lewin and M. van der Klis [astro-ph/0406133]
- Zane S., Cropper M., Turolla R., Zampieri L., Chierigato M., Drake J.J., Treves A., 2005, *ApJ*, 627, 397
- Zhang B., Harding A.K., Muslimov A.G., 2000, *ApJ*, 531, L135
- Zhang B., Gil J., Dyks J., 2006, preprint [astro-ph/0601063]